The Great Eurasian Transition - Changing Social and Economic Policies and their Implications for the World's Largest Continent



Volker C. Radeloff, University of Wisconsin-Madison

C. Alcantara, L. Baskin, E. Bragina, O. Chaskovskyy, M. Dubinin, P. Hostert, J. Knorn, J. Kozak, I. Kruhlov, T. Kuemmerle, A. Lushchekina, D. Mueller, K. Perzanowski, A. Prishchepov, A. Rabe
 Kirsten de Beurs, G. Henebry, G. loffe, T. Nefedova
 Mutlu Ozdogan, D. Aksenov, M. Baumann, A. Jaroshenko, K. Wendland
 Peter Potapov, M. Hansen, S. Turubanova
 Curtis Woodcock, P. Olofsson, A. Baccini, V. Gancz, R. Houghton, P. Torchinava

Land-Cover and Land-Use Change Program

October 4th 2011

COLD WAR 1946-1991





r.











Shock therapy: Open markets Subsidy cuts

Privatization







Foley et al., 2005, Science



Research Questions

Effects of: 1. socioeconomic shocks



Agriculture, value added (% of GDP)



Source: World Development Indicators 2004

Share of agricultural land in individual use, 2000





Source: UNECE 2009

Global National Regional Communities

Individuals

Global National Regional Communities

Individuals



Research Questions

Effects of: 1. socioeconomic shocks 2. and broad-scale drivers

Research Questions

Effects of: 1. socioeconomic shocks 2. and broad-scale drivers on agriculture, forests, and biodiversity

















Hostert et al., ERL, in press



A Ankana

Baky



de Beurs, et al., ERL, *in rev.*





de Beurs, et al., ERL, in review



de Beurs, et al., ERL, in rev.



Rural Population

Cereals

de Beurs, et al., ERL, in review






| Variables | | | | | | | Percenta Contribu | ige of tion |
|---|--------|------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|
| Soil PH | | | | (3.4) | 5.2 | | \frown | |
| Slope | | 0.5 | 0.4 | | | | () | 34-48 |
| Average annual evapotraspiration | | | (12.2) | \frown | (1.7) | \frown | | |
| Distance from the nearest forest edge | 19.4 | 31.6 | 22.2 | 25.1 | 18.7 | 34.9 | $) \bigcirc$ | 14-34 |
| Isolated agricultural areas within forest matrix in 1990 | (11.9 | \sim | 26.7 | \succ | \asymp | 30.2 | | (953) BY 2653 BG |
| Average grain yields in the late 1980s | 42.1 | | 25.2 | 22.9 | 47.8 | 24.1 | | 7-14 |
| Interpolated population densities from settlements for late 1980s | 6.4 | (13.3) | \smile | \leq | \succ | \sim | \bigcirc | |
| Distance from provincial capital | | \smile | | (12.1) | (12.9) | \frown | \bigcirc | 0.4-7 |
| Distance from district center | | | | \smile | \smile | (10.7) | \cup | |
| Distance from municipality center | 6.9 | 21.5 |) | \frown | 4.1 | \smile | | |
| Distance from nearest settlement with over 500 people | (11.5 | 6.3 | \frown | 26.3 | 9.7 | | | |
| Distance from village | 1.6 | (8.8) | (9.9) | \smile | \bigcirc | | | |
| Road density in the late 1980s | | (15.1) | (3.5) | \frown | | | | |
| Distance from nearest road with hard coverage | | (2.7) | | (10.2) | | | | |
| | | | | \smile | | | | |
| Provinces | Total | inolenst 2 | 1103 | fullo 6 | ilatan y | adimit | | |





Alcantara, 2010, PhD Dissertation

Northern Eurasia is rewilding Abandonment rates differ strongly among countries Strength of institutions matters most







Kuemmerle et al., 2007, Eco. Apps.



Kuemmerle et al., 2007, Eco. Apps.



Kuemmerle et al., 2009, RSE



Kuemmerle et al., 2011, GCB





Woodcock, Olofsson et al.

Autonomous Republic of Adjara, southwestern Georgia, 2.6% change



Woodcock, Olofsson et al.

- Georgian forests are a carbon sink (~0.3 Tg/yr.)
- Georgia will remain sink until 2040
- Sink strength ~30%
 of Georgia's
 carbon emissions



Woodcock, Olofsson et al.







European Russia



Forest cover loss 2000-2005 relative to 2000 forest cover



Regions with the highest forest cover loss:

Vladimir (3.7%) St. Petersburg (3.5%) Moscow (3.1%)

Potapov, et al. 2011 RSE



Wendland et al., 2011, GEC

A few logging hotspots, but generally less logging Access to markets and

regional governance are key factors







Lushchekina, A. 2009



Dubinin et al., 2010, RSE



Dubinin, 2010, PhD Dissertation

Population dynamics in 1976-2001



Lushchekina, A. 2009







Kuemmerle et al., 2010, Biol. Cons.





Kuemmerle et al., 2010, Eco. Apps.







Alcantara, 2010, PhD Dissertation


Alcantara, 2010, PhD Dissertation



Alcantara, 2010, PhD Dissertation





Bragina, E., et al. 2011



Bragina, E., et al. 2011



Bragina, E., et al. 2011

Mixed picture: - rewilding provides habitat - weak governance and lack of enforcement caused declines for most species



Research Questions

Effects of: 1. socioeconomic shocks 2. and broad-scale drivers on agriculture, forests, and biodiversity

Institutional changes - The collapse of the USSR was a major socioeconomic shock - Shock therapy **Open markets** Subsidies cut Privatization

Institutional changes - Countries diverged after the collapse - Unique 'natural experiment'

Agriculture - Widespread abandonment of 1/3rd of all pre-collapse farmland - Most abandonment where institutions are weak or changing - Strong cross-border differences

Forests

- Logging rates changed rapidly after the collapse
- Generally logging declined
- Some logging hotspots, often due to illegal logging
- Governance effects non-linear

Wildlife

- Northern Eurasia is rewilding, habitat availability is improving
- Initially, socioeconomic shock resulted in poaching of all species
- Now, some species rebounding while others continue to decline





Socioeconomic shocks Wars, revolutions, and recessions happen Majors effects on land use, generally decreasing land use intensity We need to account for socioeconomic shocks when modeling the future

Global National Regional Communities

Individuals

National

Global

Regional

Communities

Individuals

Broad-scale drivers Most variability among countries and among regions The strength of institutions matters more than any institution by itself We need to understand institutions and governance when predicting the future

Thanks to co-authors, collaborators, and graduate students!

Thank you!!! radeloff@wisc.edu